



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/823,506

03/28/2001

Dennis Sunga Fernandez

FERN-P001D

8534

22877

7590

09/02/2004

FERNANDEZ & ASSOCIATES LLP
1047 EL CAMINO REAL
SUITE 201
MENLO PARK, CA 94025

EXAMINER

VO, TUNG T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2613

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

26

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/823,506

Applicant(s)

FERNANDEZ ET AL.

Examiner

Tung T. Vo

Art Unit

2613

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 March 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 20, 22-23, 24-27, 28-31, and 33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bornn et al. (US 5,348,008) in view of Kennedy, III et al. (US 6,301,480).

Re claims 20, 23, 27, 31, and 33, Bornn teaches an integrated tele-medicine system (fig. 2A) using fixed and mobile processor communications (1000, 4000, and 5000 of fig. 2A) for enabling remote medical care, the system comprising: a care-giver processor (5000 of fig. 2A) coupled to a packet-switched digital network (4004 of fig. 2A), the care-giver processor (5000 of fig. 2A) accessing a database including a representation of an identity and a location of at least one remote patient (4000 of fig. 2A, note a base station archives data from the patient unit 1000 of fig. 2A); a communications unit (1000 of fig. 2A, note patient unit (1000) is a wearable unit or a portable device to communicate to the base station (personal base station fig. 2B) physically associated with a remote patient for monitoring at least one medical vital sign of such remote patient, the mobile communications unit communicating such monitored vital sign to the

Art Unit: 2613

care-giver processor through the digital network (1000, 1002, 4000, 4002, 5000 of fig. 2B); and a first detector (4013 of fig. 2A) coupled to the digital network and selected by the care-giver processor for observing the remote patient when such remote patient is determined by the care-giver processor (5000 of fig. 2A) to be located within a first observation range of the selected first detector (see also col. 7, line 56-col. 8, line 47); wherein the caregiver processor (5000 of fig. 2A) determines when an unsafe or unmonitored behavior or movement of the remote patient occurs thereby enabling corrective action to provide appropriate care to the remote patient (col. 8, lines 7- 36).

It is noted that Bornn teaches the wearable communication unit (1000 of fig. 2A) associated with the remote patient that is detected by the first detector for observing the patient when such remote patient is movable within an observable range (4013 of fig. 2A) but Bornn does not particularly teach a mobile communication unit comprises an accelerometer, and a modification according a rule set as claimed.

However, Kennedy teaches a mobile communication unit (12 of fig. 1) comprises an accelerometer and personal health sensor, and modification according a rule set (col. 3, lines 5-19). Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Bornn and Kennedy as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Kennedy into the system of Born for the same purpose of communicating between the remote patient and central station fast and more accuracy. Doing so would provide the advantages of the system include the adaptation of the system to provide mobile units are associated with cars, trucks, boats, barges, airplanes, cargo holders, persons or other mobile items such as ambulance vehicle that desire a selection of

services. These services include emergency services, roadside assistance, information services (e.g., directions, news and weather reports, financial quotes, etc.), or other as suggested by Kennedy.

Re claim 22, Bornn teaches a position signal being generated by the mobile communications unit coupled to the remote patient when remote patient is movable within an observable range (col. 15, lines 30-68), an observable signal generated by the first detector (4013 of fig. 2A) uncoupled to the remote patient in the observable range.

Re claim 24, Bornn further teaches a software agent (5000 of fig. 2B), the computer (5000) has a software to program instructions for accessing a database associated with such remote patient accesses a database.

Re claim 25, Bornn further teaches a portable identifier (1000 of fig. 2B) associated with the remote patient is used for communication therewith (2 way voice or data link)

Re claim 26, Born further teaches an object representation of such remote patient comprises an object name, an object identifier, an object group, an object query, an object condition, an object status, an object location, an object time, an object error, or an object image, video, or audio broadcast signal (1000 of fig. 2B).

Re claim 28, Bornn further teaches the remote patient is monitored temporarily using an extrapolated or last-stored positional or visual signal (4008, 4006 of fig. 2A, note the interface (4006) temporarily stores the patient data and visual image signal).

Re claim 29, Bornn further teaches the remote patient is authenticated according to a voice pattern, a finger-print pattern, a written signature, or magnetic or smart-card

signal (AUDIOTONE AND SYNTHESIZED VOICE OPTIONS FOR ALERTS AND SYSTEM STATUS IN PATIENT UNIT (1000 of fig. 2B)).

Re claim 30, Bornn further teaches an electronic file comprising a book, a greeting card, a news report, a sports report, a stock report, an artwork, a research database, a personal list, a recorded or live voice or music transmission, an electronic tool, or a commercial transaction is provided to the remote patient (figs. 8A, 8B, and 8C).

4. Claims 35, 36, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bornn et al. (US 5,348,008) in view of Kennedy et al. (US 6,301,480) as applied to claims 20, 31, and 33, and further in view of Coli et al. (US 6,018,713).

Re claims 35-37, the combination of Bornn and Kennedy further teaches the caregiver processor (5000 of fig. 2B) confirms the remote patient identity by processing a visual image of the remote patient adaptive or neural learning software to recognize such patient (col. 15, lines 55-68) as shown in Bornn.

It is noted that the combination of Bornn and Kennedy does not particularly teach the caregiver processor for thereby enabling health-care billing to the appropriate patient as claimed.

However, Coli teaches the caregiver processor for thereby enabling health-care billing to the appropriate patient (col. 4, lines 43-61; e.g. the system offers readily available online access to databases containing patient, laboratory, and medical testing information; online report generation capabilities; online product information; and automatic billing for services performed). Therefore, taking the teachings of Born, Kennedy, and Coli as a whole, it would have been obvious to one skill of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Coli into

the system of Bornn for the same purpose of billing the remote patient through out the network. Doing so would reduce cost of mailing the bill the patient faster.

5. Claims 21, 32, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bornn et al. (US 5,348,008) in view of Kennedy et al. (US 6,301,480) as applied to claims 20, 31, and 33, and further in view of David et al. (US 5,544,649).

Re claims 21, 32, 34, the combination of Bornn and Kennedy suggests a central base station (2000 of fig. 1A) communicate with the nurse unit (3000 of fig. 1A) and patients (1000, 1000A, 1000B of fig. 1A) as shown in Born, but it does not particularly discloses a second detector coupled to the digital network and selected by the care-giver processor for observing the remote patient when such remote patient is determined by the care-giver processor to have moved and subsequently located within a second observation range of the selected second detector as claimed.

However, David a second detector (10 B, 22 of fig. 2) coupled to the digital network and selected by the care-giver processor for observing the remote patient when such remote patient is determined by the care-giver processor to have moved and subsequently located within a second observation range of the selected second detector.

Taking the combined teachings of Bornn, Kennedy, and David as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the second detector (22 of fig. 2) into the combined system of Born and Kennedy for the same purpose of detecting the patient image to be observed by the caregiver processor (5000 of fig. 2) of Born. Doing so would reduce cost of the system and provide the service needs to the patient faster.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See the previous Office Action, Paper No. 14.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung T. Vo whose telephone number is (703) 308-5874. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris. Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



TUNG T. VO
PATENT EXAMINER

T.Vo.

Tung T. Vo
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2613